MAR 0 1 2004 By actitioner's Docket No. u-013394-2

mage AF/1755

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: SOLOMON SUNDAR MANOHARAN

Serial No.:09/829,414

Group No.: 1755

Filed: APRIL 9, 2001

Examiner: C. MELISSA KOSLOW

For: MAGNETO-RESISTIVE Cr02 POLYMER COMPOSITE BLEND

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice NOTE: of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167. There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct, NOTE: 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167. Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed AUGUST 29, 2003, finally rejecting claims __6, 7 AND 14. The item(s) checked below are appropriate: CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)) I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being: **MAILING FACSIMILE** ☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope Office. addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria. VA 22313-1450. Signature

03/04/2004 CNGUYEN 00000011 09829414

Date: <u>February 26, 2004</u>

01 FC:1401

330.00 OP

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board—page 1 of 4) 9-6

(type or print name of person certifying

John Richar

1	ST	AT	LIC	$\cap \Gamma$	A DDI	10	A NI	т
1	. 51	$A \cup A$		() [APPI	. K .	AIN	

This application is qualified as

[X]other than	a small	entity
ſ] a small en	tity.	

2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:

[] small entity	\$165.00
X other than a small entity	\$330.00

Notice of Appeal fee due \$330.00

3. EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "... an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.

(a) [] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
[] one month [] two months [] three months [] four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$1,480.00	\$ 55.00 \$210.00 \$475.00 \$740.00

Fee \$ _____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

	(a)	[] An extension formonths has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
		Extension fee due with this request \$
		or
	(b)	[x]Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.
4.	ТО	TAL FEE DUE
Th	e tota	al fee due is:
	Not	tice of Appeal fee \$ 330.00
•	Ext	ension fee (if any) \$
		TOTAL FEE DUE \$ 330.00
5.	FEI	E PAYMENT
	[]	Attached is a check in the sum of \$ 330.00 Charge Account No the sum of \$ luplicate of this transmittal is attached.
6.	FE	E DEFICIENCY
NO	TE:	If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.
	⊠	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No. $\underline{12-0425}$.
		AND/OR
	⊠	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. <u>12-0425</u> .



Reg. No.

Tel.	No.:	()
		•	,

JOHN RICHARDS c/o LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61st STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10023 Reg. No. 31053 (212) 708-1915

Customer No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	

JOHN	RICHA	RDS
-------------	--------------	-----

(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Address

c/o Ladas & Parry 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10023